A challenge for green chemistry: designing molecules that readily dissolve in carbon dioxide

E. J. Beckman

Chemical Engineering Department, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Carbon dioxide is a green yet feeble solvent whose full potential won't be realized until we develop a more thorough understanding of its solvent behavior at the molecular level. Fortunately, advances in molecular modeling coupled with experiments are rapidly improving our understanding of $CO₂'s$ behavior, permitting design of new, more sustainable "CO₂-philes".

Carbon dioxide is considered to be a "green" solvent, and hence substantial effort has been devoted to developing process applications for carbon dioxide that replace the use of other, more hazardous solvents.¹ Unfortunately, $CO₂$ is a feeble solvent;2 although it can solubilize low-molecular weight, volatile compounds at pressures below 100 bar, polar and highmolecular weight materials are usually poorly soluble at tractable pressures. An ability to *a priori* design highly CO_2 soluble ("CO₂-philic") compounds would advance the "greening" of demanding yet important applications in various industries. It is somewhat ironic that while molecular attributes required to allow dissolution in water (our "other" green solvent) are relatively obvious, a full understanding of the solvent character of $CO₂$ remains a challenge. $CO₂$'s solvent power has in the past been likened to that of toluene based on FT-IR spectroscopy, to hexane and to pyridine based on thermodynamic solubility parameter calculations, and to acetone based on hydrogen bond accepting tendency. These descriptions have all been discarded over the years as experimental data has revealed them to be oversimplifications. Indeed, recent work by Bush and colleagues³ suggests that $CO₂$ exhibits "multiple solvent personalities", in that one of CO_2 's three MOSCED solvent parameters⁴ suggests a resemblance to

perfluorohexane, another to acetone, and the third to acetonitrile. $CO₂$ clearly has great potential as a green solvent, but a thorough fundamental understanding of its behavior is needed to take full advantage of its properties.

Designing CO₂-philic compounds: what can we learn from fluoropolymer behavior?

By the end of the 1980's, the scientific community had all but dismissed $CO₂$'s potential as a process solvent owing to its weak solvent strength. In 1992, however,

DeSimone and colleagues⁵ published the first report of a truly " CO_2 -philic" material, when they showed that a poly(perfluoroalkyl acrylate) (Poly(FOA); see Fig. 1) with over 2500 repeat units was miscible with $CO₂$ at pressures below 150 bar. By contrast, Heller and colleagues⁶ had earlier noted that typical nonfluorinated polymers with fewer than 25 repeat units were *insoluble in CO₂ at 200 bar*. Subsequent work showed that

Eric Beckman received his BS degree in chemical engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1980. Following graduation, he joined Monsanto Plastics and Resins, working on nylon resin product and process development. In 1981, he moved to Union Carbide Corporation, performing technical service work for the urethane intermediates group (Tarrytown, NY). Doctoral work at the University of Massachusetts in polymer science followed, where he received his PhD (on the thermodynamics of $CO₂$ -polymer mixtures) in 1988. After postdoctoral research at Battelle's Pacific Northwest Laboratory in 1987–1988 on emulsion polymerization in supercritical fluids, Dr. Beckman assumed his faculty position at the University of Pittsburgh (1989). Dr. Beckman was promoted to associate

professor in 1994, and full professor in 1997. Dr. Beckman received a Young Investigator Award from the National Science Foundation in 1992, and the Presidential Green Chemistry Award in 2002. In 2000, Dr. Beckman was made the first Bayer Professor of Chemical Engineering at the University of Pittsburgh, and in 2002 became Chairman of the Chemical Engineering Department.

Fig. 1 Repeat unit structure of poly(FOA).

attachment of fluorinated "ponytails" to chelating agents, surfactants, and catalyst ligands generally enhanced the solubility of such compounds in $CO₂$.¹ The problem of $CO₂$'s weak solvent strength seemed to have been solved; *fluorination = CO2 philicity*.

Unfortunately, the use of fluorinated ponytails to achieve $CO₂$ solubility is relatively expensive, and towards the end of the 1990's, it also became somewhat environmentally problematic. More interesting from our point of view, however, were the reports in the literature showing that the heuristic, "*fluorination = CO2-philicity*" was not quite correct. Some, but interestingly not all fluorinated alkane, acrylate and ether polymers are miscible with $CO₂$ at much lower pressures than their non-fluorous counterparts. Attempts to explain the $CO₂$ philic character of fluorinated CO_2 -philes have focused on determining whether there exist any specific interactions between CO2 and these molecules. Yee *et al*. used FTIR to investigate mixtures of $CO₂$ and hexafluoroethane,⁷ finding no evidence of specific attractive interactions between the F atoms and $CO₂$. The authors consequently attributed the observed enhanced solubility of fluorocarbons to weak solute–solute interactions. However, when Dardin *et al.* compared ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR chemical shifts of *n*-hexane and perfluoro-*n*-hexane in CO_2 ⁸, they observed a chemical shift in the C_6F_{14} spectra, which they ascribed to C_6F_{14} –CO₂ van der Waals interactions. By contrast, Yonker *et al*. showed (using 1H and 19F NMR) that

neither fluoromethane (CH_3F) nor trifluoromethane (CHF_3) exhibit significant specific attractive interactions with $CO₂$.⁹

Theoretical studies have also resulted in contradictory findings. Using restricted Hartree–Fock level *ab initio* calculations, Cece *et al*. suggested that there exist specific interactions between $CO₂$ and the fluorines of C_2F_6 .¹⁰ Han and Jeong,¹¹ however, disagreed with these results, noting that Cece *et al*. did not take into account basis set superposition error (BSSE) corrections during their calculations. Using similar *ab initio* calculations, but accounting for BSSE corrections, Diep *et al*. 12 reported no evidence of $CO₂–F$ interactions in perfluorinated compounds. Raveendran and Wallen computationally investigated the effect of stepwise fluorination on the $CO₂$ -philicity of methane in an effort to address the existence of $F-CO₂$ interactions. In partially fluorinated systems, the fluorine atom acts as a Lewis base towards electron deficient carbon atom of $CO₂$, and the hydrogen atoms, having increased positive charge due to the neighboring fluorine, act as Lewis acids towards the electron rich oxygen atoms of $CO₂$.13

Fried and Hu used MP2 calculations (6- $31++G$ ^{**} basis set) in an effort to identify the nature of specific interactions between $CO₂$ and fluorinated substituent groups on polymers.14 They reported that quadrupole–dipole interactions are important contributors to the total energy of interaction. In experimental studies by McHugh *et al*., the favorable miscibility of fluorocarbons has also been attributed to polar–quadrupole interactions.15 The authors noted that fluorination imparts solubility to the polymer provided that polarity is also introduced to the polymer *via* such fluorination. Too high a level of fluorination produces an adverse effect on miscibility due to dominance of dipole–dipole interactions between the polymer chains.16

Clearly, there is considerable controversy in the literature surrounding the origin of the miscibility of some fluorinated polymers in $CO₂$, yet there do seem to be some interesting lessons to be learned from this work regarding $CO₂$ phile design, namely:

• The presence of fluorine creates molecules with weak self-interaction, rendering miscibility with $CO₂$ possible at lower pressures;

• Electronegative fluorine may exhibit specific interactions with $CO₂$'s electron poor carbon, lowering miscibility pressures;

• The presence of fluorine will affect the acidity of neighboring protons, allowing for the possibility of specific interactions

between these protons and $CO₂$'s oxygen atoms.

Non-fluorous CO₂-philes: the role of oxygen

We have explored the possibility that one could design a non-fluorous CO_2 -phile, basing our early designs on intriguing literature on interactions between oxygencontaining functional groups and $CO₂$. For example, Kazarian and coworkers reported the existence of Lewis acid–Lewis base interactions (via FT-IR) between $CO₂$ and the oxygen of a carbonyl.17 In this and other studies, it was shown that the carbonyl oxygen interacts with the carbon atom of $CO₂$, where the geometry and strength of the interaction may vary depending on adjacent groups. The use of oxygen-containing functional groups appeared particularly advantageous in $CO₂$ -phile design because it allows for creation of specific interactions with $CO₂$ while minimizing the strength of selfinteractions of the solute.

We subsequently showed that addition of carbonyl-containing functional groups lowers the miscibility pressures of silicones^{18,19} in CO_2 ; the combination of weak self-interaction (silicones) and $CO₂:$ carbonyl group interaction is clearly favorable. Addition of ether groups to a silicone backbone also lowers miscibility pressures; our subsequent calculations suggested equal strength of interaction between ether $oxygen:CO₂$ and carbonyl $oxygen:CO₂ pairs, allowing for strategic$ combinations. In Fig. 2a, we note that addition of an oxygen atom to the backbone of polypropylene (creating in effect polypropylene oxide) lowers miscibility pressures dramatically. Placing the oxygen in the side chain (Fig. 2b), and lengthening the chain (polyvinyl ethyl ether) depresses miscibility pressures further (likely here *via* enhanced free volume). In Fig. 2c, we see that addition of a carbonyl oxygen to polyvinyl ethyl ether (in effect creating polyvinyl acetate) lowers miscibility pressures again. The particular utility of acetates in lowering miscibility pressures in $CO₂$ was demonstrated by Wallen²⁰ and by our group21 using acetate-functional saccharides and polysaccharides.

To our CO_2 -phile molecular "wish list" of weak self-interaction and oxygen: $CO₂$ interactions we added high flexibility/low softening point, assuming that this characteristic would enhance the entropy of mixing of the compound with $CO₂$. We then designed a series of ether–carbonate copolymers that exhibited lower miscibility pressures than the fluorinated polyethers we had employed as $CO₂$ -philes for over a decade.22 Nevertheless, our set of guidelines remained simply guidelines; true *a priori* design was not possible. In

Fig. 2 (a) Phase behavior of (1) atactic polypropylene (Mw = 425), (2) poly(propylene glycol)-monomethylether (Mw = 1000), in carbon dioxide at 295 K. (b) Phase behavior of oxygen-containing polymers in carbon dioxide at 295 K (1) polypropylene glycol dimethyl ether, Mw = 3500, (2) poly(vinyl methyl ether), Mw = 3850, (3) poly(vinyl ethyl ether), Mw = 3800. (c) Phase behavior of oxygen-containing polymers in carbon dioxide at 295 K, (1) poly(vinyl acetate), Mw = 7700, (2) poly(vinyl ethyl ether), Mw = 3800, (3) poly(vinyl acetate), Mw = 3090.

particular, we noted that very small changes to structure led to dramatic and unpredictable changes in phase behavior, a frustrating situation. For example, it has been known for almost a decade²¹ that polymethyl acrylate (PMA) and polyvinyl acetate (PVAc) exhibit miscibility pressures in $CO₂$ that differ by hundreds of bar—this result would not be predicted by any group contribution thermodynamic model currently in use without purely empirical adjustments. Indeed, the PMA/PVAc effect is preserved even when we attach the ester group in either an "acrylate" or "acetate" fashion to other polymer backbones (see Fig. 3). Finally, while polyvinyl acetate exhibits relatively accessible miscibility pressures (Fig. 2c), we have found that the addition of a single methylene unit (polyallyl acetate) creates a

Fig. 3 Phase behavior of two functionalized silicone polymers in carbon dioxide at 295 K, structures shown below.

material that is for all intents and purposes, insoluble. Again, traditional thermodynamic models provide no guidance here.

We believe that at least part of the answer to these puzzles lies in CO_2 's ability to act as both Lewis acid and Lewis base, coupled with subtle effects of neighboring substituents on the acidity of certain protons. For example, Wallen and colleagues,23 in an analysis of interactions between acetate groups and $CO₂$, found that the acidity of the methyl acetate protons allows for binding of $CO₂$ through both its carbon and oxygen atoms. We24 have found experimentally that copolymers of vinyl acetate (VAc) and tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) exhibit lower miscibility pressures than either of the homopolymers. Not surprisingly, calculations made (using MP2/aug-ccpVDZ level of theory) on the geometry and strength of the interactions between $CO₂$ and various dyads (TFE-VAc, VAc-VAc, *etc.*) in the copolymer showed that the presence of the difluoromethylene groups in the backbone render neighboring protons more acidic. This neighbor effect allows quadradentate binding between $CO₂$ and the TFE–VAc dyad (Fig. 4). Given our results with TFE–VAc copolymers, we are currently endeavoring to design a structure that might incorporate the benefits of this copolymer, yet without the need for fluorine.

In summary, combinations of theory and experiment are rapidly advancing our ability to design cost-effective CO_2 -philic materials. We would propose the following molecular characteristics for a " $CO₂$ phile":

• Flexible, high free volume materials: Eastoe and colleagues²⁵ have previously demonstrated that increasing free volume through functional group changes will enhance solubility of compounds in $CO₂$. Elevating free volume and flexibility can,

Fig. 4 (a) Charge distribution on model for TFE–VAc dyad calculated using Gaussian 98 package, revision A11; note charges on protons next to carbonyl and CF_3 group. (b) Optimized binding geometries for the $CO₂$ – TFE–VAc dyad using MP2/6-31+g(d) level of theory; optimized configurations were then used to calculate the more accurate single point binding energies using the aug-cc-pVDZ basis set with counterpoise corrections.

for example, be accomplished through branching and use of ether linkages in the main chain.

• Weak self-interactions. O'Neill and colleagues26 previously noted that most of the CO_2 -philes known exhibit relatively

weak self-interaction, as evidenced by low cohesive energy density. We have found, for example, that while tertiary amines interact more strongly with $CO₂$ than do carbonyls, the stronger self-interaction of the amine-containing compounds actually elevates their miscibility pressures in $CO₂$ *versus* oxygen-containing analogs.

• Multidentate interactions between $CO₂$ and solute functional groups, where interactions involve both the carbon and oxygens in $CO₂$. Oxygen-containing functional groups are advantageous in that they interact with $CO₂$, adjust the acidity of neighboring protons, and add comparatively little to the strength of selfinteraction of the solute.

If one examines the structure of the most CO_2 -philic material identified to date, the poly(perfluoroacrylate) shown in Fig. 1, one can see that it fortuitously incorporates all of the features described above. Poly(FOA)'s fluorinated side chain creates a flexible material with high free volume (evidenced by a low glass transition temperature) and relatively weak self-interactions. The fluorines provide possible sites for specific interactions with CO₂, and render neighboring protons relatively acidic, providing the potential for multi-dentate interactions. The carbonyl group and its neighboring acidic protons also provide such sites. In future, our task lies in creating a non-fluorous analog to Poly(FOA) using these design rules.

Notes and references

- 1 E. J. Beckman, Supercritical and nearcritical $CO₂$ in green chemical synthesis and processing, *J. Supercrit. Fl.*, 2004, **28**, 121.
- 2 C. F. Kirby and M. A. McHugh, Phase Behavior of Polymers in Supercritical Fluid Solvents, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 565; J. F. Kauffman, Quadrupolar Solvent Effects on Solvation and Reactivity of Solutes Dissolved in Supercritical CO₂, *J*. *Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 3433.
- 3 M. Lazzaroni, D. Bush, D. Taylor and C. A. Eckert, Solid solubility in gas-expanded solvents. Presentation at AIChE annual meeting, San Francisco, CA, November, 2003.
- 4 E. R. Thomas and C. A. Eckert, Prediction of Limiting Activity Coefficients by a Modified Separation of Cohesive Energy Density Model and UNIFAC, *IEC Proc.*

Des. Dev., 1984, **23**, 194.

- 5 J. M. DeSimone, Z. Guan and C. S. Elsbernd, Synthesis of fluoropolymers in supercritical carbon dioxide, *Science*, 1992, **257**, 945.
- 6 J. P. Heller, D. K. Dandge, R. J. Card and L. G. Donaruma, Direct thickeners for mobility control of carbon dioxide floods, *Soc. Petr. Eng. J.*, 1985, **25**, 679.
- 7 G. G. Yee, J. L. Fulton and R. D. Smith, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy of molecular interactions of heptafluoro-1 butanol or 1-butanol in supercritical carbon dioxide and supercritical ethane, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 6172.
- 8 A. Dardin, J. M. DeSimone and E. T. Samulski, Fluorocarbons Dissolved in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. NMR Evidence for Specific Solute-Solvent Interactions, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**, 1775.
- 9 C. R. Yonker and B. J. Palmer, Investigation of $CO₂/Fluorine Interactions$ through the Intermolecular Effects on the 1H and 19F Shielding of $CH₃F$ and $CHF₃$ at Various Temperatures and Pressures, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 308.
- 10 A. Cece, S. H. Jureller, J. L. Kerscher and K. F. Moschner, Molecular Modeling Approach for Contrasting the Interaction of Ethane and Hexafluoroethane with Carbon Dioxide, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1996, **100**, 7435.
- 11 Y.-K. Han and H. Y. Jeong, Comment on "Molecular Modeling Approach for Contrasting the Interaction of Ethane and Hexafluoroethane with Carbon Dioxide", *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1997, **101**, 5604.
- 12 P. Diep, K. D. Jordan, J. K. Johnson and E. J. Beckman, CO_2 -Fluorocarbon and CO_2 -Hydrocarbon Interactions from First-Principles Calculations, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1998, **102**, 2231.
- 13 P. Raveendran and S. L. Wallen, Exploring $CO₂$ -Philicity: Effects of Stepwise Fluorination, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2003, **107**, 1473.
- 14 J. R. Fried and N. Hu, The molecular basis of CO₂ interaction with polymers containing fluorinated groups: computational chemistry of model compounds and molecular simulation of poly[bis(2,2,2 trifluoroethoxy)phosphazene], *Polymer*, 2003, **44**, 4363.
- 15 F. Rindfleisch, T. P. DiNoia and M. A. McHugh, Solubility of Polymers and Copolymers in Supercritical CO₂, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1996, **100**, 15581.
- 16 M. A. McHugh, I.-H. Park, J. J. Reisinger,

Y. Ren, T. P. Lodge and M. A. Hillmyer, Solubility of CF2-Modified Polybutadiene and Polyisoprene in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide, *Macromolecules*, 2002, **35**, 4653.

- 17 S. G. Kazarian, M. F. Vincent, F. V. Bright, C. L. Liotta and C. A. Eckert, Specific Intermolecular Interaction of Carbon Dioxide with Polymers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 1729.
- 18 R. Fink, D. Hancu, R. Valentine and E. J. Beckman, Toward the development of "CO₂-philic" hydrocarbons: I. The use of side-chain functionalization to lower the miscibility pressure of poly(dimethyl siloxane) in CO₂, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1999, **103**, 6441.
- 19 S. Kilic, S. Michalik, Y. Wang, J. K. Johnson, R. M. Enick and E. J. Beckman, Effect of Grafted Lewis Base Groups on the Phase Behavior of Model Poly(dimethyl siloxanes) in CO₂, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2003, **42**, 6415.
- 20 P. Raveendran and S. L. Wallen, Sugar Acetates as Novel, Renewable $CO₂$ -philes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 7274.
- 21 V. J. Potluri, J. Xu, R. M. Enick, E. J. Beckman and A. D. Hamilton, Peracetylated sugar derivatives show high solubility in liquid and supercritical carbon dioxide, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 2333.
- 22 T. Sarbu, T. Styranec and E. J. Beckman, Non-fluorous polymers with very high solubility in supercritical $CO₂$ down to low pressures, *Nature*, 2000, **405**, 165.
- 23 P. Raveendran and S. L. Wallen, Cooperative C–H…O Hydrogen Bonding in CO₂-Lewis Base Complexes: Implications for Solvation in Supercritical CO2, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 12590.
- 24 B. Baradie, M. S. Shoichet, Z. Shen, M. McHugh, L. Hong, Y. Wang, J. K. Johnson, E. J. Beckman and R. M. Enick, The synthesis and solubility of linear poly(tetrafluoroethylene-co-vinyl acetate) in dense $CO₂$: experimental and molecular modeling results, *Macromolecules*, in press.
- 25 J. Eastoe, A. Paul, S. Nave, D. C. Steytler, B. H. Robinson, E. Rumsey, M. Thorpe and R. K. Heenan, Micellization of Hydrocarbon Surfactants in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 988.
- 26 M. L. O'Neill, Q. Cao, M. Fang, K. P. Johnston, S. P. Wilkinson, C. D. Smith, J. L. Kerschner and S. H. Jureller, Solubility of Homopolymers and Copolymers in Carbon Dioxide, *Ind. Eng. Chem., Res.*, 1998, **37**, 3067.